

Electricity Cost Recovery Project 2 June 2005 175-A000-72-2

Proposed Criteria to Evaluate Cost Recovery Options

| Criterion | Description |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Compliance with legislative and | Collection of recoverable costs in compliance with |
| other requirements | applicable legislation: National Energy Board Act |
| | Section 24.1 (1), Financial Administration Act, User |
| | Fees Act and related regulations, Government of Canada |
| | policy on Service Standards for External Fees, 29 Nov. |
| | 2004. |
| Operational simplicity | The method should be relatively easy to implement and |
| | for use both the NEB and industry. |
| Equity | The interests of various stakeholders should be balanced. |
| Predictability /Stability of charges | Predictable revenues to cover the Board's annual |
| | expenses and to allow companies to predict with |
| | reasonable accuracy what their cost recovery bills will |
| | be in a given year (avoiding highly inconsistent annual |
| | cost recovery revenues and an undue administrative |
| | burden). |
| Cost causation | This is based on the 'user pay' principle. To the extent |
| | possible, costs should flow to the parties who caused |
| | these costs to be incurred. |
| | |

Definition of Criterion/criteria: A standard, rule, or test on which a judgment or decision can be based.

Canada

1